# The Cook County Board of Review v. The Illinois property tax Appeals Board and Fulton House Condominium Assoc., Ill. App. Ct., First Dist. (Sept 30, 2009)

By Bill Seitz

his case involved the 2003 triennial for the 113-unit Fulton House Condominium. Fulton House is at 345 N Canal St. along the Chicago River (you can see it from the Metra train). It is the 16-story North American Cold Storage Warehouse building, converted to mixed use condos in the early 1980s (83 percent residential, 16 percent commercial, 1 percent industrial).

The condominium association submitted an appraisal using all three approaches to value. The PTAB accepted this report and ruled for the taxpayer.

This case is Rule 23 without precedential authority, but there were a couple of interesting points, since the PTAB did, in fact, accept the report:

## Sales taking place after date of value

The date of value was January 1, 2003, yet the appraisal report includes 5 sales after that date to see how well the model used in the report predicts, a back door way of presenting those sales.

# Proving over-assessment with sales of other condos

The appraisal report opinion of over-assessment was based upon comparisons with similar property in the area and their rates of taxation per square foot. Typically, in valuing the condominium property as a whole, only sales at the condo itself are considered relevant, i.e., it creates its own market.

## Discrepancy over sale prices

The appraisal report had 30 sales at the condominium itself. What is interesting is that 1/3rd of the sales, or 10, had discrepancies from the public record.

Given that this is a 2003 case, this is unusual. My experience at that time was that the sales data via public records filed with the Recorder were very close to the sales records maintained by second party verification (MLS or property management). For most condominiums in the City of Chicago, the As-

sessor decided to propose 2003 assessments that tracked the sales activity, i.e., the final assessment typically close to his proposed assessment (reason he badly needed the 7 percent cap enacted into law).

Fulton House may have been an atypical situation, given the mixed use.

# Findings of the court

The appellate court upheld the PTAB. The key part of the decision is the standard of review.

Cook County argued that the appeal was a question of law because of issues with the appraisal not relying on recent sales at the condo itself. If a question of law, the review is *de novo*—i.e., independent and not deferential.

PTAB and Fulton House argued for the appeal being a question of fact. If reviewed as a question of fact, the court will not reverse the factual findings of the PTAB unless they are against the manifest weight of the evidence—i.e., that the opposite conclusion is clearly evident.

The Court found that the case here was a question of fact. Therefore, the court does not substitute its judgment for PTAB and the PTAB's findings and conclusions on questions of facts are *prima facie* true and correct.

Reviewed on this basis, the Court affirmed the PTAB decision.  $\blacksquare$ 



Support the Illinois Bar Foundation—the charitable arm of your Association.

To receive an application, call 1-800-252-8908.

# TAX TRENDS

Published at least four times per year.

Annual subscription rate for ISBA members: \$20.

To subscribe, visit www.isba.org or call 217-525-1760

#### OFFICE

Illinois Bar Center 424 S. Second Street Springfield, IL 62701 Phones: 217-525-1760 OR 800-252-8908 www.isba.org

## **EDITOR**

Stanley R. Kaminski 190 S. LaSalle St., Ste. 3700 Chicago, IL 60603

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Alexander P. White Louise Calvert Matthew Flamm Mary Nicolau

# Managing Editor/ Production

Katie Underwood kunderwood@isba.org

# STATE & LOCAL TAXATION SECTION COUNCIL

Mary Ann Connelly, Chair William J. Seitz, Vice Chair Donald T. Rubin, Secretary Thomas M. Battista, Ex-Officio

Thomas F. Arends Mark R. Davis Patrick C. Doody David D. Dorner David P. Eldridge Joanne Elliott Thomas A. Jaconetty Stanley R. Kaminski Daniel R. Lynch Julie-April Montgomery Timothy E. Moran Christopher Mullen John K. Norris Tara H. Ori David R. Reid Gary H. Smith

Mauro Glorioso, Board Liaison Mary M. Grant, Staff Liaison William T. Kaplan, CLE Liaison

Disclaimer: This newsletter is for subscribers' personal use only; redistribution is prohibited. Copyright Illinois State Bar Association. Statements or expressions of opinion appearing herein are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Association or Editors, and likewise the publication of any advertisement is not to be construed as an endorsement of the product or service offered unless it is specifically stated in the ad that there is such approval or endorsement.

Articles are prepared as an educational service to members of ISBA. They should not be relied upon as a substitute for individual legal research.

The articles in this newsletter are not intended to be used and may not be relied on for penalty avoidance

Postmaster: Please send address changes to the Illinois State Bar Association, 424 S. 2nd St., Springfield, IL 62701-1779.