

The Future of Technology in Law Practice

Section of Labor and Employment Law
Technology Committee Midyear Meeting

May 16th, 2002 in Boston, MA at Suffolk Univ. Law School

Ron Friedmann, Esq.

CIO, Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo PC

Agenda

- Legal technology framework
- The future of legal technology
 - Production Tools
 - Extranets
 - Knowledge Management
 - Online Legal Services
- Conclusions

Legal Technology Framework

- Review a framework for analyzing legal technology
 - Developed by Richard Susskind, a leading legal technology thinker
 - From his book, [Transforming the Law](#)

Susskind's Model

Client

External links

“Extranets”

Client access to know-how

“Online Legal Services”

Technology

Information

Knowledge

Internal technology use

*“Production and
Management Tools”*

Internal knowledge
management

“Knowledge Management”

Internal

Examples

Client

Online financial reporting

Status reporting

Deal rooms

Document archives

2nd generation web sites

Virtual lawyers

Online legal guidance

Expert systems

Technology

Information

Knowledge

Hardware and networks

Desktop software and DMS

Financial management

HR management

Know-how databases

Form libraries

Research libraries

Intranet services

Internal

The Future of

Client



Information



Knowledge



Internal

Technology



**Production
Tools**

Production Tools Evolve

- Typewriters
- Carbon paper
- Telephone
- Electric typewriters
- Copiers
- Time and billing
- Fax
- Overnight delivery
- Online research
- Word processing
- PC and applications
- Networks
- E-mail
- Document mngt.
- Vertical apps
- Internet & browser
- Cell phones
- ASPs
- Wireless

Lessons Learned

- Constant change
- Leading edge today – common tomorrow
- But tools may not matter – are laggards penalized?

Production Tools Predictions

- Wireless ubiquitous
- New display technologies
- Voice recognition

- ASPs that make sense and money
- Web services

- Video
- XML

The Future of

Extranets

Client



Information



Knowledge



Internal

Technology



Extranets Today

- Private web area to share information
 - Matter- or client-specific
 - Content: documents, billing, status
- Usage today
 - Many firms offer
 - Some law departments use
 - Several hosted solutions (ASP)
 - Penetration and use seems low, statistics notwithstanding

Extranet Predictions - 1

Status monitoring systems fade

- E-billing eventually provides better approach to monitor and analyze
- Real-time monitoring not sustainable because it is based on lack of trust

Extranet Predictions - 2

Law firm extranets fade

- “Hands-off” clients
 - Simple archival systems suffice
- “Hands-on” clients
 - Tend to use more than 1 firm
 - Too hard to use multiple extranets
 - Need a single, uniform system
 - Law firms will need to upload data
 - Data transfer standards develop

Extranet Predictions - 3

Deal specific extranets ???

- Valuable but penetration seems low
- Hard to wean lawyers from e-mail
- Is e-mail good enough?

The Future of

Client



Information



Knowledge

Technology



Internal

**Knowledge
Management**

Knowledge Management Today

	<i>Manual</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Documents	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Cull■ Add meta-data■ Provide context	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Categorize■ Full-text index■ Summarize
Expertise	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Taxonomy-D/B■ Self-rating	Analyze <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ E-mail■ Documents
Contacts - Relations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Collect+de-dup■ Update■ Share	??

KM Challenges

- Manual processes are expensive
 - UK and Australian firms invest
 - A few US firms do as well
- Automation of limited value so far
- Major cultural issues
 - Limited economic incentives
 - Bad habits (e.g., do a random search in DMS and look at titles)
 - E-mail is entrenched

KM Predictions - 1

Automation not the answer

- Full-text and semantic systems help but do not solve problems
- Growth of non-practicing KM lawyers suggests that technology alone is not enough
- Context is at least as important as documents – humans know context

KM Predictions - 2

Exogenous shocks required

- Move to fixed fees would drive efficiency measures such as KM
- Proof that KM wins new business
 - If clients
 - Assess KM impact *and*
 - Select firms on this basis
 - Then firms will be motivated to do KM

KM Predictions - 3

“Look outside to look within”

- Client feedback loop required
- Delivering information to clients may cause internal focus on KM

KM Predictions - 4

Move toward best practices

- Today: Documents + Expertise
- Tomorrow: Best Practices?
 - *Procedural* know-how is valuable
 - Managing a transaction
 - Handling a portfolio of litigation
 - Analyzing discovery documents
 - May be easier to gain competitive advantage with best practices

The Future of

Online Legal Services

Client



Information



Knowledge



Internal

Technology



Online Systems Defined

- Self-service systems (Q&A or form based)
 - Intelligent in-take + analysis
 - Answers, streamlined reports, e-mail alerts
 - Combines self-service with lawyer support
- Other intelligent systems
 - Diagnostic check lists
 - Smart FAQs
 - Document assembly
 - Workflow systems
- Embedded law systems
 - Compliance, contract management, preventive law, training

Examples of Online Services

- Linklaters Blue Flag
- Clifford Chance Next Law
- Blake Dawson Virtual Lawyers
- Davis Polk Global Collateral Advisor
- Bryan Cave eCave

- For more examples:
 - See www.kminthelaw.com

Supporting Technologies

- Expert systems
- Neural networks
- Case-base reasoning
- Document assembly
- Advanced full-text retrieval
 - Search
 - Auto-categorization and taxonomy
- Custom coding

The Case for Online Services

- Content systems impose burdens
 - Identify and vet source
 - Search for documents
 - Identify potentially useful hits
 - Read documents
 - Apply information in documents to facts
- Answers are better than information

Online Service Issues

- Business model
- Target market
- Production considerations
- Ethical issues

Business Models

- Direct fees
 - Subscriptions
 - Customization or maintenance
- Indirect fees (client development)
 - Generate traditional matters (e-mail alerts identify new matters)
 - New product = access to prospects
 - Enhance existing relationships

Assessing the Opportunity

- Compare to other opportunities
 - Fee generating
 - Client development
- Compare fixed costs
 - Hiring lateral lawyers
 - Opening a new office

Target Market

- Consumers
 - More ethical issues?
 - More experience, less success?
- Business
 - Extend reach of in-house counsel; tap the “latent legal market”
 - But not clear why potential demand has not translated into actual demand

Possible Topics

- “Horizontal”
 - Web site audit/diagnosis for legal issues
 - Privacy
 - Employment law
 - Trade practices
 - Compliance (e.g., financial or environmental)
- “Vertical”
 - Outsourcing
 - M&A due diligence

Production Issues

- Lawyer time
 - Credit in a billable hours world
 - Who gets credit for new business?
- Training and staffing
 - Software
 - Knowledge engineering – lawyers?
- Maintaining systems
 - Content
 - Software and infrastructure

Ethical Considerations

- Unauthorized practice of law
- Malpractice
- Attorney-client privilege
- Confidential information
- Others??

Conclusions

- The minimum required technology is a bar that rises over time
- Beyond the basics, cultural and economic issues dominate
- Lawyers should be guided by
 - Competition
 - Their own needs
 - Gaining competitive advantage