

## Group Health Plans: Year-End Action Items, Upcoming Changes

As 2011 closes, plan sponsors of group health plans turn their attention to continued viability of grandfathered plan status, identifying insured plans that may be discriminatory, compliance with new claims and appeals rules, and W-2 reporting of the value of health coverage in 2012.

## December 21, 2011

The end of the 2011 plan year brings about another opportunity to review group health plan compliance with Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) requirements. This LawFlash describes yearend action items for group health plan sponsors and previews upcoming changes for 2013. This list is not exhaustive, but it is intended to serve as a reminder of items that plan sponsors should review and consider before the end of the year.

**Annual Assessment of Grandfathered Plan Status.** Plan sponsors of grandfathered plans must assess whether 2012 plan design changes will impact the plans' grandfathered status. For example, grandfathered plan status is lost if *any* increase is made to the percentage of cost sharing borne by a participant or if a co-pay increases by more than \$5 (from the co-pay in place when healthcare reform was enacted, not from the prior year). These and other plan changes having a negative impact on grandfathered plan status are discussed in our June 21, 2010 LawFlash, "Interim Final Rules Released on Group Health Plan Grandfather Status Under Healthcare Reform Law," available at <a href="http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/WashGRPP\_HealthPlanGrandfatherStatus\_LF\_21jun10.pdf">http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/WashGRPP\_HealthPlanGrandfatherStatus\_LF\_21jun10.pdf</a>. If grandfathered plan status is lost, significant plan changes may be required to comply with nongrandfathered plan healthcare reform provisions.

**Claims and Appeals Rules.** Plan sponsors of nongrandfathered plans must continue to implement the new claims and appeals rules with their insurers or providers and confirm that the insurers or providers have compliant notices and processes in place. Plan documents should be reviewed to confirm that fiduciary liability for claims and appeals decisions is accurately described and delegated.

*Notice Requirements* – All new content requirements for claim-related notices become effective January 1, 2012. Claim-related notices must contain the following:

- Information sufficient to identify the claim
- The denial code and its corresponding meaning

- A statement notifying a claimant of the opportunity to request the diagnosis and treatment codes and their corresponding meanings
- A description of the standard on which the denial was based
- A description of the available internal and external appeal procedures available
- Availability of and contact information for assistance with the appeal from the office of health insurance consumer assistance
- A non-English notice of the availability of non-English telephonic assistance where plan participants reside in a county where 10% or more of its population are literate only in the same non-English language

*External Review* – Currently, all nongrandfathered plans must provide an external review opportunity for reviews of claim denials involving medical judgments or rescissions. Self-insured plans must offer a standard external review opportunity through a process administered by the Office of Personnel Management or by contracting with at least two accredited independent review organizations by January 1, 2012 and three such organizations by July 1, 2012. Insured plans and non-ERISA plans may rely on state external review procedures that comply with certain consumer protection standards. If the state external review process does not satisfy the standards, the insured plans or non-ERISA plans may also follow the external review process as self-insured plans.

**W-2 Reporting.** Employers that filed at least 250 Forms W-2 in 2011 must report the aggregate value of health coverage on the annual 2012 Form W-2 provided to employees in January 2013. Employers should determine which benefits are subject to the reporting and how to calculate and accumulate the value on their payroll system, how to handle midyear coverage changes, and how to treat former employees and retirees. The Form W-2 reporting requirement is discussed in detail in our July 7, 2011 LawFlash, "New Guidance Related to Form W-2 Reporting Requirements," available at <a href="http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/EBLF\_NewGuidanceRelatedToFormW2ReportingReqs\_7july2011.pdf">http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/EBLF\_NewGuidanceRelatedToFormW2ReportingReqs\_7july2011.</a>

**Summary of Benefits and Coverage (SBC).** In late November, plan sponsors received some welcome news—a delay in implementation of the new SBC effective date. The Department of Labor (DOL) stated in an FAQ that until final regulations are issued, plan sponsors are not required to comply with the SBC requirement. The DOL further stated that when final regulations are issued, they will include an effective date that provides ample time for plan sponsors to comply. Prior to the release of the FAQ, a four-page (doubled-sided) SBC was required to be sent to *new* health plan participants beginning on March 23, 2012 and to *all* participants during the plans' next annual enrollment period. Non-calendar-year plans with annual enrollment in April, May, or June would have struggled to meet the original SBC effective date. Sponsors of self-insured plans will have a more active role in preparation of the SBC, while sponsors of insured plans may rely on the insurer. All plan sponsors will need to work with their insurers or providers to approve the content and determine how and when the SBCs will be distributed. SBC content requirements and associated noncompliance penalties are discussed in our August 26, 2011 LawFlash, "New Summary of Benefits and Coverage Required for Health Plans in 2012," available at http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/EB\_LF\_SummaryOfBenefitsAndCoverage2012\_26aug11.pdf.

**Nondiscrimination Rules.** Healthcare reform added and subsequently delayed implementation of a new nondiscrimination standard for insured group health plans. The nondiscrimination rule is similar to the Section 105(h) nondiscrimination rule already applicable to self-insured plans. Although the effective

date is currently unknown, plan sponsors of potentially discriminatory insured health plans that benefit only highly paid executives should identify possible discrimination concerns and possible solutions.

**New Comparative Effectiveness Fee.** Beginning with plan years ending after September 30, 2012, plan sponsors will be subject to an annual fee of \$1 per covered life to fund a federal program on comparative effectiveness research. The fee will increase to \$2 per covered life for plan years ending after/on September 30, 2013 and will end in 2019. Plan sponsors should be aware of this new benefit plan cost and include it during budget planning discussions.

**FSA Limit.** Beginning with the January 1, 2013 tax year, annual salary reduction contributions to a health flexible spending account (FSA) provided under a cafeteria plan will be limited to \$2,500. Plans that currently permit health FSA salary reductions in excess of \$2,500 must adopt a plan amendment and communicate this reduction before 2013. Transitioning to the new limit will be challenging for non-calendar-year plans and may require some advance planning during 2012. The limit is based on tax year, not plan year. Non-calendar-year plan sponsors may want to impose the new limit during enrollment for the plan year beginning in 2012 in order to simplify compliance.

Morgan Lewis can help plan sponsors implement changes for 2012 and prepare for changes on the horizon for 2013. Please contact one of the following Morgan Lewis attorneys for more information:

Chicago		
David Ackerman	312.324.1170	dackerman@morganlewis.com
Andy R. Anderson	312.324.1177	aanderson@morganlewis.com
Sage Fattahian	312.324.1744	sfattahian@morganlewis.com
Brian D. Hector	312.324.1160	bhector@morganlewis.com
Dallas		
John A. Kober	214.466.4105	jkober@morganlewis.com
New York		
Craig A. Bitman	212.309.7190	cbitman@morganlewis.com
Gary S. Rothstein	212.309.6360	grothstein@morganlewis.com
Gary 5. Rouisteni	212.309.0300	grouistenite/inorganiewis.com
Philadelphia		
Robert L. Abramowitz	215.963.4811	rabramowitz@morganlewis.com
Brian J. Dougherty	215.963.4812	bdougherty@morganlewis.com
I. Lee Falk	215.963.5616	ilfalk@morganlewis.com
Amy Pocino Kelly	215.963.5042	akelly@morganlewis.com
Robert J. Lichtenstein	215.963.5726	rlichtenstein@morganlewis.com
Georgina O'Hara	215.963.5188	go'hara@morganlewis.com
Joseph E. Ronan, Jr.	215.963.5793	jronan@morganlewis.com
Steven D. Spencer	215.963.5714	sspencer@morganlewis.com
Mims Maynard Zabriskie	215.963.5036	mzabriskie@morganlewis.com
David B. Zelikoff	215.963.5360	dzelikoff@morganlewis.com
Pittsburgh		
Lisa H. Barton	412.560.3375	lbarton@morganlewis.com

John G. Ferreira Lauren Bradbury Licastro R. Randall Tracht	412.560.3350 412.560.3383 412.560.3352	jferreira@morganlewis.com llicastro@morganlewis.com rtracht@morganlewis.com
Washington, D.C. Althea R. Day David R. Fuller Mary B. (Handy) Hevener Gregory L. Needles	202.739.5366 202.739.5990 202.739.5982 202.739.5448	aday@morganlewis.com dfuller@morganlewis.com mhevener@morganlewis.com gneedles@morganlewis.com
<b>Palo Alto</b> S. James DiBernardo Zaitun Poonja	650.843.7560 650.843.7540	jdibernardo@morganlewis.com zpoonja@morganlewis.com

## About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

With 22 offices in the United States, Europe, and Asia, Morgan Lewis provides comprehensive transactional, litigation, labor and employment, regulatory, and intellectual property legal services to clients of all sizes—from global Fortune 100 companies to just-conceived startups—across all major industries. Our international team of attorneys, patent agents, employee benefits advisors, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—nearly 3,000 professionals total—serves clients from locations in Beijing, Boston, Brussels, Chicago, Dallas, Frankfurt, Harrisburg, Houston, Irvine, London, Los Angeles, Miami, New York, Palo Alto, Paris, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Princeton, San Francisco, Tokyo, Washington, D.C., and Wilmington. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at <u>www.morganlewis.com</u>.

## **IRS Circular 230 Disclosure**

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. For information about why we are required to include this legend, please see <a href="http://www.morganlewis.com/circular230">http://www.morganlewis.com/circular230</a>.

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states. Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes.

© 2011 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.