King & Spalding

Client Alert

Environmental, Health & Safety Practice Group

December 7, 2016

EPA Selects First 10 Chemicals for TSCA Risk Evaluations

A Set of "Blueprints" for Future Reviews?

In satisfaction of a mid-December deadline under the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, EPA has issued the required initial list of ten "high priority" substances it will review under the new Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) existing chemical risk evaluation program: ¹

1,4-Dioxane Methylene Chloride (MC)

1-Bromopropane (1-BP) N-Methylpyrrolidone (NMP)

Asbestos Pigment Violet 29

Carbon Tetrachloride Trichloroethylene (TCE)

Cyclic Aliphatic Bromide Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)

Cluster (HBCD+)

As required under the amended TSCA, EPA selected these chemicals from its TSCA Work Plan,² in which EPA had already identified several dozen substances of concern.³ EPA must now commence the process of determining whether these substances "present an unreasonable risk of injury."⁴ Within the next six months, EPA must issue scoping documents for each risk evaluation, and then complete the evaluations within three years. If the Agency concludes that an "unreasonable risk of injury" is present, regulation to impose risk management measures must follow within two years.

For companies that manufacture, import, or process these initial chemicals, or have them in their supply chain, the November 29 announcement is sufficient to prompt their keen attention to and participation in the risk evaluations that are now required. For other companies, the more important function of these initial evaluations is any precedent EPA may achieve for other chemicals that share the same aspects as one or more of the first set.

Certain issues will be common to all risk evaluations. First, the amended statute links the "unreasonable risk" determination to the "conditions of use," which are those "circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of." Even if EPA provides additional guidance in its forthcoming process rules on how it interprets intent and reasonable foreseeability, 6 the initial set of risk evaluations will provide the first concrete applications. Second, EPA must

For more information, contact:

Cynthia A.M. Stroman

+1 202 626 2381 +1 713 276 7364 cstroman@kslaw.com

King & Spalding Washington, D.C.

1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-4707 Tel: +1 202 737 0500 Fax: +1 202 626 3737

Houston

1100 Louisiana Street Suite 4000 Houston, Texas 77002-5213 Tel: +1 713 751 3200 Fax: +1 713 751 3290

www.kslaw.com

Client Alert

under the new law take into consideration "potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations." Like conditions of use, these initial evaluations will demonstrate how the Agency will undertake its determination and consideration of such subpopulations.

Other aspects of EPA's risk evaluations will be chemical-specific, and EPA appears to have selected an initial set that will require it to address a range of these issues. Thus, companies will likely find interest in particular elements of specific reviews:

If your company:	Consider reviews of:
Makes or uses consumer products	1,4-Dioxane, 1-BP, MC, NMP, Pigment Violet 29,
	TCE, PCE
Owns property connected with groundwater	1,4-Dioxane, 1-BP, Carbon Tetrachloride, MC,
contamination	NMP, TCE, PCE
Is involved in product liability or	1,4-Dioxane, 1-BP, Carbon Tetrachloride, MC,
environmental litigation involving releases or	NMP, TCE, PCE
exposure to chemicals	
Makes or uses a chemical with a potentially	1-BP, PCE, 1,4-Dioxane
"safer" alternative	
Makes or uses a one of a "family" of	HBCD+
chemicals	
Makes or uses pigments, dyes, or additives	Pigment Violet 29
Makes or uses products with target substance	1,4-Dioxane
as an impurity	
Makes or uses products with target substance	NMP
as an intermediate	
Makes or uses high volume chemicals	MC, TCE, PCE, NMP
Makes or uses a possible or known human	1,4-Dioxane, 1-BP, Asbestos, Carbon
carcinogen	Tetrachloride, MC, TCE, PCE
Makes or uses a substance with aquatic	HBCD+, Pigment Violet 29
toxicity concerns	
Makes or uses a substance with reproductive	NMP
toxicity concerns	

As EPA works its way through these initial evaluations, companies who manufacture, import, process or use chemicals will undoubtedly find particular points to their liking or displeasure. The latter will certainly provide leverage to argue for similar treatment when a company's own chemicals undergo review. However, the former may need earlier attention to avoid or limit their precedential value in future evaluations. Companies may want to consider commenting on specific facts or circumstances that limit conclusions only to the chemical under review, or, conversely, that a chemical under review shares certain characteristics with other chemicals. Regardless, prudent companies will keep an eye on EPA's risk evaluations as they unfold.

* * *

Celebrating more than 130 years of service, King & Spalding is an international law firm that represents a broad array of clients, including half of the Fortune Global 100, with 900 lawyers in 18 offices in the United States, Europe, the Middle East and Asia. The firm has handled matters in over 160 countries on six continents and is consistently recognized for the results it obtains, uncompromising commitment to quality and dedication to understanding the business and culture of its clients. More information is available at www.kslaw.com.

King & Spalding

Client Alert

This alert provides a general summary of recent legal developments. It is not intended to be and should not be relied upon as legal advice. In some jurisdictions, this may be considered "Attorney Advertising."

¹ EPA posted its announcement on its website. https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/evaluating-risk-existing-chemicals-under-tsca.
Publication in the *Federal Register* is expected soon.

² 15 U.S.C. § 2605(b)(2)(A).

³ Characteristics used by EPA to select chemicals for the Work Plan list included carcinogenicity; presence in drinking water or biomonitoring; persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity (PBT); potential exposures for children; and similar considerations.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. § 2605(b)(4)(A).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. § 2602(4).

⁶ Draft proposed rules for identifying "high priority" chemicals and the process for conducting risk evaluations are under review by the Office of Management and Budget.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. § 2602(12); *id.* § 2605(b)(1)(A).