







6 KEY TAKEAWAYS

Annual Review of Key Trademark & Unfair Competition Opinions

At the recent <u>Kilpatrick Townsend</u> annual Trademark Seminar, <u>Ted Davis</u> discussed recent Supreme Court activity in cases bearing on trademark rights, including the Court's opinions on the availability of accountings of defendants' profits and the protectability of so-called "generic.com" marks. Other topics included the lawfulness of marks used in connection with cannabis-related products, the relationship between trademark law and the First Amendment, differences in the federal circuits as to the proper definition of functionality, and the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the likelihood-of-confusion inquiry.

Key takeaways from the presentation, include:



In passing the Trademark Modernization Act, Congress enacted the most substantive revisions to United States trademark law since the Trademark Law Revision Act in 1988;

In *Romag Fasteners, Inc. v. Fossil, Inc.,* 140 S. Ct. 1492 (2020), the Supreme Court resolved the most significant split in the federal circuits over the past twenty years by holding that a prevailing plaintiff need not demonstrate willful misconduct to receive an accounting of the defendant's profits under Section 35(a) of the Lanham Act;

2

3

The Court also issued a significant opinion in *United States Patent & Trademark Office v. Booking.com B.V.,* 140 S. Ct. 2298 (2020), in which it confirmed that the combination of an arguably generic word and a generic top-level domain can qualify as descriptive and therefore a potentially registrable mark;

In VIP Prods. LLC v. Jack Daniel's Props., Inc., 953 F.3d 1170 (9th Cir. 2020), cert. denied, No. 20-365, 2021 WL 78111 (U.S. Jan. 11, 2021), the Ninth Circuit expanded the pro-defendant Rogers v. Grimaldi test for liability in challenges to the titles or contents of creative works to trademark uses by defendants, while the court in Stouffer v. Nat'l Geographic Partners, LLC, 460 F. Supp. 3d 1133 (D. Colo. 2020), appeal dismissed, No. 20-1208 (10th Cir. Feb. 24, 2021), rejected the Rogers test altogether in favor of an alternative one;

4

5

Two pending appeals to the Federal Circuit have attacked the constitutionality of the long-standing practice by the Director of the Patent and Trademark Office of making appointments to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board; and

The Office's equally long-standing practice of refusing registrations to claimed marks for failure to function as marks accelerated.

