

Laws Governing Data Security and Privacy – U.S. Jurisdictions at a Glance

Updated January 17, 2016

BY GAVRILA BROTZ & JAMIE BIGAYER

State	Statute	Year Statute Adopted or Significantly Revised	Upon Discovery of Breach, Is Notice to State Attorney General Required?	Is Breach Notification to Affected Individuals Required if there is a Low Risk of Harm?	Does Statute Cover Electronic Data, Paper Records, or Both?	Maximum Fine	Does Statute Provide for a Private Cause of Action?
Alabama*	ALA. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY POLICY 685-00 (applicable to certain Executive Branch agencies only)	2012	No	No	Both		No
Alaska	Alaska Stat. §§ 45.48.010 – .090	2008	Yes	No	Both	\$50,000	Yes
Arizona	ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 44-7501	2007	No	No	Electronic Data	\$10,000	No
Arkansas	ARK. CODE ANN. §§ 4-110-101 – 108	2005	No	No	Electronic Data	\$10,000	No
California	Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1798.29, 1798.80 – .84, Cal. Health & Safety Code § 1280.15	2016, 2015, 2013, 2009	Yes (or to the State Department of Public Health if the covered entity is regulated by that department)	Yes	Both	\$3,000, or \$250,000 for the unauthorized use of patient medical information	Yes
Colorado	Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 6-1-713, 6-1- 716	2004, 2010	No	No	Both		Yes

CARLTON FIELDS

Connecticut	CONN. GEN. Stat. § 36a-701b	2015, 2005	Yes	No	Electronic Data	\$5,000	No
Delaware	DEL. CODE ANN. tit. 6, §§ 12B-101 – 104	2005	No	No	Electronic Data	\$10,000	Yes
District of Columbia	D.C. CODE §§ 28- 3851 – 3853	2007	No	Yes	Electronic Data	\$100	Yes
Florida	Fla. Stat. § 501.171	2014	Yes	No	Both	\$500,000	No
Georgia	GA. CODE ANN. §§ 10-1-910 – 915, 46-5-214	2007, 2006	No	Yes	Electronic Data and Telephone Records	 \$0 for a data breach; \$100 for a failure of a credit reporting agency to implement a consumer-requested security freeze 	Yes
Guam	Guam Code Ann. tit. 9, §§ 48.10 – .80	2009	No	No	Electronic Data	\$150,000	No
Hawaii	Haw. Rev. Stat. §§ 487N-1 – 7	2008	Yes, to the Office of Consumer Protection, if notice to more than 1,000 individuals is required	Yes	Both	\$2,500	Yes
Idaho	IDAHO CODE Ann. §§ 28-51- 104 – 107	2015, 2006	Yes (for covered government agencies)	No	Electronic Data	\$25,000	No
Illinois	815 Ill. Сомр. Stat. 530/1 - /40	2006	No	Yes	Both	\$50,000 (plus an additional \$10,000 if victim is 65 years of age or older)	Yes
Indiana	IND. CODE §§ 4-1- 11-1 – 10, 24-4.9- 1-1 – 5-1	2006	Yes (No, if covered entity is a state agency)	No (Yes, if covered entity is a state agency)	Both	\$150,000	No
Iowa	IOWA CODE §§ 715C.1 – .2	2014	Yes, if notice to more than 500	No	Both	\$40,000	No



			individuals is				
			required				
Kansas	KAN. STAT. ANN. §§ 50-7A01 – 04	2006	No	No	Both		Yes
Kentucky	Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 365.720 – .734	2014	No	No	Both		Yes
Louisiana	LA. REV. STAT. ANN. §§ 51:3071 – 3077, LA. ADMIN. CODE tit. 16, pt. III, § 701	2007, 2005	Yes	No	Electronic Data	\$5,000	Yes
Maine	Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. tit. 10, §§ 1346 – 1350-B	2009	Yes (or to the Department of Professional and Financial Regulation if the covered entity is regulated by that department)	No	Electronic Data	\$2,500	No
Maryland	MD. CODE ANN. Com. Law §§ 14- 3501 – 3508, MD. Code Ann. State Gov't §§ 10-1301 – 1308	2013	Yes	No	Both	\$1,000 for first violation, \$5,000 for any subsequent violation by a covered merchant	Yes
Massachusetts	MASS. GEN. LAWS ch. 93H, §§ 1 – 6	2007	Yes	Yes	Both	\$5,000, or \$10,000 for violating an injunction entered pursuant to an enforcement action	No
Michigan	МІСН. СОМР. Laws §§ 445.61 – .79С	2010	No	No	Electronic Data	\$750,000	Yes
Minnesota	MINN. STAT. §§ 13.055, 325E.61, 325E.64	2014, 2007	No	Yes	Electronic Data	\$25,000	Yes
Mississippi	MISS. CODE ANN. § 75-24-29	2010	No	No	Both	\$10,000	No



Missouri	MO. REV. STAT. § 407.1500	2009	Yes, if notice to more than 1,000 individuals is required	No	Electronic Data	\$150,000	No
Montana	Mont. Code Ann. §§ 2-6- 1503, 30-14-1701 – 1736	2015, 2009, 2007	Yes (and to the State's Chief Information Officer if a state agency)	No	Both	\$10,000	No
Nebraska	NEB. REV. STAT. §§ 87-801 – 807	2006	No	No	Electronic Data		No
Nevada	NEV. REV. STAT. §§ 603A.010 – .920, 242.183	2015, 2011	No	Yes	Both		No
New Hampshire	N.H. REV. STAT. Ann. §§ 359-C:19 – :21	2007	Yes	No	Electronic Data	\$10,000, and no less than double and no more than treble damages in private actions upon finding of willful violation	Yes
New Jersey	N.J. STAT. ANN. §§ 56:8-161 – 166	2005	Yes, to the Division of State Police in the Department of Law and Public Safety	No	Both		No
New Mexico*	H.B. 224 (proposed legislation status: postponed indefinitely)	2014	Yes, if notice to more than 50 individuals is required	Yes	Both	\$150,000	Yes
New York	N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 899-AA, N.Y. STATE TECH. LAW §§ 201 – 208	2013	Yes, along with the Department of State and the Division of State Police	Yes	Electronic Data	\$150,000	Yes
North Carolina	N.C. GEN. STAT. §§ 75-60 – 66	2016, 2009	Yes	No	Both	\$5,000	Yes, if an individual



							has been injured
North Dakota	N.D. CENT. CODE §§ 51-30-01 – 07	2015, 2013	Yes, if notice to more than 250 individuals is required	Yes	Electronic Data	\$1,000	Yes
Ohio	Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §§ 1347.12, 1349.19 – .192	2015, 2007	No	No	Electronic Data	No cap; penalties can be as high as \$10,000 per day of noncompliance	No
Oklahoma	Okla. Stat. tit. 74, § 3113.1, tit. 24, §§ 161 – 166	2006, 2008	No	Yes, if a state agency identifies a breach; No, if an individual or business identifies a breach	Electronic Data	\$150,000	No
Oregon	OR. REV. STAT. §§ 646A.600 – .628	2016, 2013	Yes, if notice to more than 250 individuals is required	No	Both	\$500,000	No
Pennsylvania	73 PA. CONS. Stat. Ann. §§ 2301 – 2329	2006	No	No	Electronic Data	\$5,000	No
Puerto Rico	P.R. LAWS ANN. tit. 10, §§ 4051 – 4055	2008	Yes, to the Department of Consumer Affairs (or to the Citizen's Advocate Office if the covered entity is a government agency or public corporation)	Yes	Both	\$5,000	Yes
Rhode Island	R.I. GEN. LAWS §§ 11-49.2-1 – .2-7 (repealed effective June	2005	No	No	Electronic Data	\$25,000	No



	26, 2016 and July 2, 2016)						
Rhode Island	P.L. 2015, CH. 138 (effective June 26, 2016 to be codified at R.I. GEN. LAWS §§ 11-493-1 – .3-6)	2016	Yes, if notice to more than 500 individuals is required	No	Both	No cap; \$100 per record if violation was reckless; \$200 per record if violation was knowing and willful	No
South Carolina	S.C. CODE ANN. §§ 39-1-90, 1-11- 490	2013, 2009	Yes, to the Consumer Protection Division of the Department of Consumer Affairs, if notice to more than 1,000 individuals is required	No	Both	\$1,000 per resident whose information was accessible if violation was knowing and willful	Yes
South Dakota*							
Tennessee	Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-2101 – 2111	2015, 2005	No	Yes	Both	The greater of \$10,000; \$5,000 per day of an assumed identity theft; or 10 times the amount obtained or assumed to have been obtained using the identity theft	Yes
Texas	Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. §§ 521.001 – .152, Tex. Educ. Code Ann. § 37.007(B)(5)	2015, 2013, 2011	No	Yes	Both	\$50,000, plus \$250,000 for failure to take reasonable action to comply with notice requirements	Yes, to declare an individual a victim of identity theft
Utah	UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 13-44-101 – 301	2013	No	No	Both	\$100,000	No



Vermont	VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, §§ 2430 – 2445	2015, 2014	Yes (or to the Department of Financial Regulation if the covered entity is regulated by that department)	No	Both	\$10,000	No
Virginia	VA. CODE ANN. §§ 18.2-186.6, 32.1- 127.1:05	2011, 2008	Yes	No	Electronic Data	\$150,000	Yes
Virgin Islands	V.I. CODE ANN. tit. 14, §§ 2200 – 2212	2005	No	Yes	Electronic Data		Yes
Washington	Wash Rev. Code §§ 19.255.010 – .020, 42.56.590	2015, 2010, 2007	Yes, if notice to more than 500 individuals is required	No	Both		Yes
West Virginia	W. VA. CODE §§ 46A-2A-101 – 105	2008	No	No	Electronic Data	\$150,000	No
Wisconsin	WIS. STAT. §§ 134.97 – .98	2007	No	No	Both	\$1,000	Yes
Wyoming	WYO. STAT. ANN. §§ 40-12-501 – 509	2015, 2007	No	No	Electronic Data		Yes, to declare an individual a victim of identity theft

* State does not have a statute governing data breach

This table constitutes a summary of the laws of various U.S. jurisdictions and does not purport to represent a detailed or complete analysis of current U.S. law.