http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fc67ed9d-32c5-4bc4-a76d-57274c54335b

1	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP David W. Carpenter*	BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq. 0 Braeburn Drive	
2	Bradford A. Berenson*	Princeton, NJ 08540	
_	David L. Lawson*	Telephone: (609) 924-2075	
3	Edward R. McNicholas*		
	Eric A. Shumsky #206164	MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC	
4	1501 K Street, N.W.	Carl J. Mayer	
	Washington, DC 20005	66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414	
5	Tel: (202) 736-8000	Princeton, NJ 08542	
	Fax: (202) 736-8711	Telephone: (609) 921-8025	
6	bberenson@sidley.com	Facsimile: (609) 921-6964	
7	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E.	
	Bruce A. Ericson #76342	SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC	
8	Jacob R. Sorensen #209134	Steven E. Schwarz, Esq.	
	Marc H. Axelbaum #209855	2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W	
9	50 Fremont Street	Chicago, IL 60625	
10	Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120	Telephone: (773) 837-6134	
10	Tel.: (415) 983-1000	Facsimile: (773) 837-6134 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs	
11	Fax: (415) 983-1000	Autoriteys for the Flaminis	
11	bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com		
12	•		
12	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation		
13	Tree inc. and Bensoum Corporation	* admitted pro hac vice	
14		DISTRICT COURT	
1.5		CT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION	
16	In re:	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW	
17	NATIONAL GEOLIDITY ACENCY		
1 /	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING AT&T INC. & BELLSOUTH CORP.'S	
18	LITIGATION	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF	
	LITIONTION	PERSONAL JURISDICTION;	
19		[PROPOSED] ORDER	
20			
20			
21		Date: June 3, 2009	
41		Time: 10:30 a.m.	
22		Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor	
22		Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
23	This Document Relates To:	-	
	This Boothiest Relates 10.		
24	McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc.,	et	
25	al., No. 09-cv-0131-VRW		
25			
26			
_0			
27			

28

1	RECITALS
---	-----------------

- A. AT&T Defendants have filed two motions to dismiss plaintiffs' Complaint
- 3 (Dkt. 1), both of which currently are pending.
- B. On March 16, 2009, telecommunication carrier defendants, including AT&T
- 5 Inc. and BellSouth Corporation, moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' Complaint for failure to
- 6 state a claim upon which relief can be granted. See Mot. to Dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P.
- 7 12(b)(6) (Dkt. 588). That same day, Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and Bell-
- 8 South Corporation moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' Complaint for lack of personal jurisdic-
- 9 tion. See Mot. to Dismiss Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) (Dkt. 584).
- 10 C. Both motions are currently scheduled to be heard on June 3, 2009. This
- stipulation concerns the latter motion, Docket Item No. 584.
- D. On May 7, 2009, plaintiffs filed a "Response to AT&T Inc. and BellSouth
- 13 Corporations' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction" (Dkt. 613). In it, they princi-
- pally argued that, just as this Court "administratively terminated" without prejudice other
- motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction that previously were filed in this MDL
- 16 (Dkt. 379), the Court should take similar action here.

17 <u>STIPULATION</u>

- Plaintiffs and Specially Appearing Defendants hereby stipulate as follows:
- 19 A. In order to promote the efficient handling of this matter, the parties have
- 20 agreed they do not object to the Court taking the pending motion to dismiss for lack of per-
- sonal jurisdiction (Dkt. 584) off of the Court's argument calendar and deferring a decision
- 22 on it.
- 23 B. The parties further agree that by reaching this agreement AT&T Inc. and
- 24 BellSouth Corporation have not waived their personal jurisdiction objections, that any delay
- 25 in deciding the personal jurisdiction motion as a result of this agreement shall not be as-
- serted or held to prejudice those objections, and that by reaching this agreement no party
- 27 has waived any argument presented in the briefing in connection with the pending motion

Document hosted at JDSUPRA

- 1 to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. In addition, because of the filing of this stipula-
- 2 tion AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation have not filed their reply brief in support of
- 3 their motion to dismiss, and the parties agree that AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation
- 4 retain their right to do so when the motion is restored to this Court's argument calendar.
- 5 D. The parties further agree that by reaching this agreement, plaintiffs have not
- 6 waived whatever rights to amend their complaints to add additional or substitute parties in
- 7 the future that they otherwise would have, and that delay in deciding the personal jurisdic-
- 8 tional motion as a result of this agreement shall not be asserted or held to prejudice any
- 9 such amendments.
- 10 E. The parties undertake that, if any party subsequently concludes that it is no
- longer appropriate to defer resolution of the motion to dismiss, that party will promptly no-
- 12 tify the other parties and then the Court.

13			Respectfully submitted,
14	Dated:	May 13, 2009	
15			SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP David W. Carpenter (pro hac vice)
16			Bradford A. Berenson (pro hac vice) David L. Lawson (pro hac vice)
17			Edward R. McNicholas (pro hac vice) Eric A. Shumsky #206164
18			1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005
			Tel: (202) 736-8010
19			Fax: (202) 736-8711 bberenson@sidley.com
20			By: /s/ Bradford A. Berenson
21			Bradford A. Berenson
22			PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
23			Bruce A. Ericson #76342 Jacob R. Sorensen #209134
24			Marc H. Axelbaum #209855
25			50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880
26			San Francisco, CA 94120 Tel.: (415) 983-1000
27			Fax: (415) 983-1200 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com
28			
20			2

1	Attorneys for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation
2	AT&T Inc. and Bensouth Corporation
3	THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E. SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC
4	Steven E. Schwarz, Esq.
5	2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W Chicago, IL 60625
6	Telephone: (773) 837-6134 Facsimile: (773) 837-6134
7	By: <u>/s/ Steven E. Schwarz</u> Steven E. Schwarz
8	BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq.
9	10 Braeburn Drive Princeton, NJ 08540
10	Telephone: (609) 924-2075
	MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC
11	Carl J. Mayer 66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414
12	Princeton, NJ 08542
13	Telephone: (609) 921-8025 Facsimile: (609) 921-6964
14	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
15	
16	DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B
17	I, Marc H. Axelbaum, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I
18	have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the signatories listed
19	above.
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.
21	Executed on May 13, 2009, at San Francisco, California.
22	
23	By: /s/ Marc H. Axelbaum
24	Marc H. Axelbaum
25	Attorney for Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth
26	Corporation
27	
28	
20	3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court		
3	ORDERS the following:		
4	A. The m	otion of Specially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth	
5	Corporation to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction (Dkt. 584) is hereby taken off of the		
6	Court's June 3, 2009 argument calendar.		
7	B. If any	party subsequently concludes that it is no longer appropriate to defer	
8	resolution of the motion to dismiss, that party will promptly notify the other parties and		
9	then the Court.		
10	C. By rea	ching the foregoing Stipulation:	
11	(1) Spo	ecially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation	
12	have not waived their personal jurisdiction objections;		
13	(2) any	delay in deciding the personal jurisdiction motion as a result of the	
14	foregoing Stip	ulation shall not be asserted or held to prejudice those objections;	
15	(3) no	party has waived any argument presented in the briefing in connection	
16	with the pendi	ng motion;	
17	(4) Spe	ecially Appearing Defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation	
18	retain their rig	ght to file a reply brief in support of the motion if and when it is re-	
19	stored to this	Court's argument calendar;	
20	(5) Pla	intiffs have not waived whatever rights to amend their complaints to	
21	add additional	or substitute parties in the future that they otherwise would have; and	
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28			

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fc67ed9d-32c5-4bc4-a76d-57274c54335b

1	(6) any	delay in deciding	the personal jurisdictional motion as a result of the
2	foregoing Stipu	ulation shall not b	be asserted or held to prejudice any such amend-
3	ments.		
4	PURSUANT T	TO STIPULATIO	ON, IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated:	, 2009.	
6			
7			II V 1 D W II
8			Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States District Chief Judge
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			_