http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fffeb4cb-78ec-4a6b-8eb7-86834a94fa04

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Ronald D. Coleman (RC 3875) Joel G. MacMull (JM 8239) GOETZ FITZPATRICK LLP One Penn Plaza, Suite 4401 New York, NY 10119 (212) 695-8100 Phone

Attorneys for S&L Vitamins, Inc. and Larry Sagarin

S & L VITAMINS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

- vs. -

AUSTRALIAN GOLD, INC.,

Defendant.

AUSTRALIAN GOLD, INC.,

Third Party Plaintiff,

- vs. -

LARY SAGARIN AND JOHN DOES 1-10,

Third Party Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

05-CV-1217 (JS) (MLO)

S&L VITAMINS, INC.'S AND LARRY SAGARIN'S PROPOSED **VERDICT FORM**

Plaintiff and counterclaim defendant S&L Vitamins, Inc. and counterclaim defendant Larry Sagarin, (jointly "S&L") hereby respectfully submit their Proposed Verdict Form:

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT

1. Was there a contract between Australian Gold and(list	1.
specific distributors whose contracts with Australian Gold S&L is alleged to have interfered with, based on the Court's rulings at trial as to admissibility, sufficiency of proof as a matter of law, etc.) that prohibited from selling Australian Gold Products to anyone other	
than a retail tanning salon?	
Yes No	
If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Court. If ur answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	•
2. Did S&L know of the existence of the contract between Australian Gold and(per above) referred to in (1) above? Yes No	2.
If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Court. If ur answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	•
3. Did(per above) breach its contract with Australian Gold by selling Australian Gold Products to anyone other than a retail tanning salon?	3.
Yes No	
If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Court. If ur answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	•
4. Did S&L's perform an action that was a substantial factor in inducing (per above) to breach its / their contract(s) with	4.
Australian Gold? Yes No	

Document hosted at JDSUPRA

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fffeb4cb-78ec-4a6b-8eb7-86834a94fa04

If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Co	urt. If
your answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	

5	Did the S&L act with the intent to causebreach its / their contract?	(per above) to
		Yes No
	your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and wer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	d report to the Court. If
6	Were S&L's actions justified?	Yes No
	your answer to this question is No, proceed to answe this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	r question 11. If your
7	Did S&L act with malice or use wrongful means?	
		Yes No
	your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and ver to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	d report to the Court. If
8	Did S&L act with the sole purpose of injuring Australia	an Gold?
		Yes No
	your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and wer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	d report to the Court. If
9	Did S&L act with substantially any other purpose other financially?	er than to benefit itself
	•	Yes No
	your answer to this question is No, proceed to answe this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.	r question 11. If your

10. State the amount of Australian Gold damages, if any, sustained as a result of S&L's conduct.

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fffeb4cb-78ec-4a6b-8eb7-86834a94fa04

Actual Damages: \$_	
---------------------	--

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

12. Did S&L use AG's trademarks, set forth in the list attached to this verdict sheet as Exhibit A, in commerce in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of goods?

> Answer "Yes" or "No" on Exhibit A as to each trademark.

If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Court. If your answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.

> 13. Is S&L's use of defendant's AG's trademarks likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive consumers as to the source of the goods sold by S&L?

> > Answer "Yes" or "No" on Exhibit A as to each trademark.

If your answer to this question is No, proceed no further and report to the Court. If your answer to this question is Yes, proceed to the next question.

> 14. State the amount of the AG's damages, if any, sustained as a result of S&L's conduct as to each trademark on Exhibit A you find to have been infringed in question (13).

Dated: New York, New York January 11, 2009

Document hosted at JDSUPRA

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=fffeb4cb-78ec-4a6b-8eb7-86834a94fa04

Respectfully submitted,

GOETZ FITZPATRICK LLP

By: _____

Ronald D. Coleman
Joel G. MacMull
One Penn Plaza
GOETZ FITZPATRICK LLP

New York, NY 10110 Telephone: (212) 695-8100 Facsimile: (212) 629-4013

Attorneys for S&L Vitamins, Inc. and Larry Sagarin